G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Ch. 170-14 (1-2)
" 143-16 (1-2)
" 142-17 (546)

Later references.

Reserve

1918-19

(Courts)

File No. 215-18

Serial Nos. 1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial No. one

Part B for February 1920.

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Scale of travelling allowance to Munsiffs.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

early
(For Disposal at an/ Meeting)

No. C. 704

14.3.1919

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xiii of the Schedule)

Revision of the scale of travelling allowance to Munsiffs.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C Balasundaram Jr

14th March 1919.

Chief *Secretary to Government.*

Extraordinary Member of Council. Arow 18.3.19.

First Member of Council. seen

5375-457 14.3.19 **Second Member of Council.** opinion recorded Peel 15.3.19

Temporary Member of Council. Seen

WD 2670—GPB—1,000—11-5-17

G. R. S. III—1] 1918-19

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 215-18

FILE HEADING.

Revision of the scale of T. A. to Munsiffs

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

8.4377

From the Registrar Chief Court.

C. No. 353/18-19 dt. 17-9-18

Invites attention to the letter of the 8/10 Feb 1913 recommending the revising of the scale of T. A to Munsiffs & Registrars ~~only~~ ~~considered~~ in favour of an early decision in the matter. Srbd. for orders.

1) It is understood that the letter referred to is being dealt with along with the file about the general question of revising the scale of T. A. A higher scale has been sanctioned in individual cases pending the disposal of the general question.

2) This may perhaps be transferred to the Financial Secretariat for disposal

24/9

24/9 Financial dept.

3) The reference is not pending disposal in this file. The pending Case must deal with

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

GRSM. 592.

G.R.S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

as is usual in the ~~first~~ last
half year in the annual appre-
bolum it is addressed

B
26/9/19

Chief Asst)
Secretary.
May the Confidential
Branch be asked to
state if the general
question of revising the
D.A. of ~~Officers~~ officers is
pending there?

B
30/9.

SS
25/10

B
30/9 Comptroller)

1) Certain questions connected
with the revision of the travelling
allowance rules have been ordered
~~to be~~ in Council to be brought
up in August 1919. The Connected
file has been forwarded to the Financial
Secretary.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

GRSM. 592

6) If appears this may be in part
in Sanctioned.

SS
4/12

7) Asst Secretary
with Records.
Speak to me
about this.
AB 4/12/19.

Chief Accountant)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 215 of 1918.

Serial No.

8) Draft sealed

86 9) Submitted with draft for
6/12 approval

2480
3/1/19

Chief Sec)

2nd member)

(10) I do not see any reason why these
Chiefs should receive increased
Salary. An annual meeting with them is
quite feasible. Either if more are passed
and, they can be taken into consideration
when the general question is dealt with.
Personally, I am in favour of granting the
Chiefs, the allowance which is now paid
to the Asst Com missioners - in recommending
cases I think they has been allowed. As
I am asked by the Chiefs I ~~do not think~~
~~they ought to be paid at this~~ the Superintendence
as they will not be paid by the Chiefs ~~as~~
~~do not~~ personally draw travelling allowance
except as necessary for and I think the
as their necessaries their actual expenditure for as
regards the travelling allowance they get the
Chiefs pay for it they have to raise the
pay of Clerks the Chiefs. At present, the
total pay for Chiefs was Rs 150 a month

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-19—S.

and the maximum pay Rs 250 a month. They were then placed on the same footing as the Executive - But the Munsiff's pay has been increased since - the maximum being Rs 400 a month - They are then placed on an almost the same footing ~~as regards their~~ as the Asst Commissioners - There is no intermediate grade between them & the Subordinate Judges as there is between the Executive & Asst Commissioners. Officers in these Educational departments drawing the same pay & commencing their experience & prestige are given the same rates of Travelling allowance as the Asst Comrs As regards the Khasa Kacha which is a cooked case a concession has been made in favour of Munsiffs drawing a pay of Rs 300 & above a month - I am for immediate disposal of this question. If it not regarded that the repeated representations of this Govt should be so ignored.

H Stuart for CSS

3. 4. 19

CSS
3/4/19

4/4/19

7H451-18

I do not think a strong case has been made out for a change. All Munsiffs cannot be paid the same rates of Travellin allowance. The line must be drawn somewhere and there is no hardship in the line being drawn where it is now drawn. Rs.3/6as. and double second class are not unfair rates for Officers drawing Rs.200, 250 & 300 as will be seen from comparison with, for example, Officers of the Educational and Geological departments. The fact that officers on Rs.250 and 300 in the Executive line viz., Assistant Commissioners draw higher T/A rates cannot be regarded as a conclusive argument for raising the rate in the

Judicial

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 215 of 1918.

Serial No.

Judicial Department. The argument that Munsiffs may be called upon to undertake the onerous duty of sitting in judgment on the acts of officers of higher rank in other departments and that their T/A rates should therefore be high appears to me to have no weight. Amildars on Rs.150 may have to try cases in which high officers may be the accused party and that is no reason to increasing the travelling allowance rates for Amildars. In short I think the present rates cause no hardship and therefore there is no reason for a change.

Fl. 1451-18.

Not at all likely — See Sec. 197(2) Cr. P. Code

Chief Secy.

Subd. Asst. 19/2

1st Member

1st member

I am sorry I am unable to accept the Financial Secy's view

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 215 of 1918.

Serial No.

15 The position and pay of the office, and the nature and importance of the work, amply justify the fixing of the travelling allces of Memorfs at rates equal to those drawn by A.D.C.'s. We cannot well differentiate between the various classes of Memorfs in this respect, the essential characteristics & conditions being the same for all. I would accept the recommendation of the Chief Comr., supported as it is by cogent reasons. The Temp? Member has already expressed himself in favour of it.

16 The file may, if agd by Hon'ble Member, be circulated.

17 Dewan

I am inclined to agree with the 2 members of Council who may be consulted further Dewan when say meeting of the Council

18 Circulate

P. 70

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C15 File No. 215 of 1918.

Serial No. 19.

I am in full accord with the views expressed by my two honourable colleagues in this matter. As between Municipal Municipal it is illogical to make any distinction & I am strongly of opinion that Municipal ~~Councils~~ require to be treated on the same footing as Asst Commissioners.

Reed
16.3.18.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 483— *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 20 3 *1919.*

6. Subject.-Clause xiii of the Schedule.

Revision of the scale of travelling allowance to Munsiffs.

Opinion of the Council.

20) Pending general revision of travelling allowance rules Munsiffs of the third class (Rs.300) and fourth class (Rs.250) may like Munsiffs of the first and second classes be given travelling allowance rates as follows:-

Double first class railway fare,
Daily allowance Rupees four and
Mileage annas eight.

Sd. A.R. Banerji
offg: Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

C Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1148—GPB—1,000—23-12-18

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.S. File No 215 of 1918

Serial No.

21. Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

22 Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, for concurrence or remarks if any.

By order,

C.S. Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Govt.
21/3/19

21 MAR 1919
R 2115-18

23 I respectfully adhere to the opinion expressed in my note dated 18.2.19

Chief Secy)

24) Memo. sent to D.F.M. & DS.M.

25) Subd. with D.F.M. & D.S.M.

10/4.
APR 1919

26) Subd. with D.F.M. & favour of return

I Member

1199
15-4-19

27 D.S.M. Subd.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on APR 21 1919
NOTE. I-Contn. sheet 5-12

Dewan

28) Memo. to the Legal Remembrancer

C. Secretariat

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

Revision of the scale of travelling allowance to Munsiffs.

The Chief Court recommended in February 1913 that Munsiffs of the first four classes might be given the same rates of travelling allowances as Assistant Commissioners of corresponding pay, and that Munsiffs of the last class and those on probation might be given the allowances admissible to Probationary Assistant Commissioners. The subject was kept by pending the decision of Government on the question of the general revision of the Travelling Allowance Rules.

2. In the meanwhile higher rates have been allowed in individual cases provisionally, pending orders revising the existing rates.

3. The question has now been raised anew, in connection with the privilege of receiving Khasa Hara at His Highness the Maharaja's Durbars, and the Chief Court have solicited early orders on their recommendation.

4. The Temporary Member of Council, when he was in charge of the Judicial Portfolio, considered that the question must be disposed of soon and was of opinion that the Munsiffs, having been placed on almost the same footing as Assistant Commissioners in regard to pay, should be granted the same rates of Travelling Allowance as the Assistant Commissioners.

5. The Financial Secretary was of opinion that a strong case had not been made out for a change in the existing rates, which he thought caused no hardship.

6. I was unable to accept the view of the Financial

Secretary and noted as follows:-

> "[I consider that] the position and pay of the Office and the nature and importance of the work amply justify the fixing of the Travelling Allowance of Munsiffs at rates equal to those of the Assistant Commissioners. We cannot well differentiate between the various classes of Munsiffs in this respect, the essential characteristics and conditions being the same for all. I would accept the recommendation of the Chief Court, supported as it is by cogent -- reasons".

7. The subject was considered in Council, and the Members of Council are of opinion that pending the general revision of the Travelling Allowance Rules, Munsiffs of the third and fourth classes might like Munsiffs of the First and Second classes be given Travelling Allowance rates as follows:-

Double First Class railway fare		
Daily allowance ..	..	Rs.4/- and
mileage ..	..	annas 8/-.

8. The opinion of the Council may be graciously approved.

17th April 1919.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First *Member of Council on the subject of* the revision of the scale of travelling allowance to Munsiffs

for His Highness' gracious approval.

OSB
19/4/09.

Dewan.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 215 of 1918.

Serial No.

30) The general question of the revision of the travelling allowance rules has been advised in Council to be brought up in August 1919. The connected file is before the Financial Secy. Reference paragraph 28 supra.

Devang

31) Perhaps the question may be taken up under the proposed scheme with it

4/5/19

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Recd. on MAY 3 1919 Reg. No. 6822.

32) Secy with compliments

Secy)

3-5

4/5/19

575

BANGALORE 2- MAY 1919

R 2501-18

25-8-3 575

33) Please put up the other file in the form wanted. I have noted my views fully on the matter.

14/5

15/5

34) Linked with Swamy

7/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | cfs File No. 215 of 1918.

Serial No.	
35	Reqd. Order have since been issued re changes in the Y. A. Rules in G.O. no. 7E 3070-3119- SRA 107-15-1 dt 20-11-19 : Order solicited if these papers may be filed. Asst 18/2 36 yrs. D.M. 20/2

23 FEB 1920

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Chief Court of Mysore,

C.No. 353/1918-19. Bangalore.

Dated 17th September 1918.

...ETARIAT
111
PROTECTION BRANCH.
20 SEP 1918

...A.D (REV. DEP.
18 SEP 1918

DIARY No. D 1002

10-10
SECTION CS
No. 215-18

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

15515

To

C.S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

S i r,

I am directed to invite a kind reference to this office letter No. 2678/190, dated the 8/10th February 1913, recommending that munsiffs of the first four classes may be given the same rate of travelling allowances as Assistant Commissioners drawing the corresponding pay and munsiffs of the last class and those on probation the allowances admissible in the case of probationary Assistant Commissioners, and to state that this long pending question has again been raised in connection with the privilege of receiving a Khasa Hara at His Highness the Maharaja's Durbars. That privilege is, it is understood, given only to public officers entitled to travelling allowance of double first class railway fare for journeys by rail, Rs 4/- daily allowance and 8 annas mileage.

It

It is believed that the Government will agree with the Judges that it is only right to place the officers of the Judicial Department on an equal footing with those of other departments of the State. Their education is not, speaking generally, less expensive, the technical qualifications required of them are not lower and their duties rightly viewed are not less responsible; indeed they may at any time, though fortunately these occasions are rare in Mysore, be called upon to undertake the onerous duty of sitting in judgment on the acts of officers of higher rank in other departments.

The fact that the munsiffs have fewer journeys to make on duty than officers of the executive departments should it is believed rather strengthen their case than weaken it: the concession asked for will cost but little to the Government.

I am to ask that as the question has arisen anew from a somewhat different aspect than before, the Government may be pleased to take into early consideration the letter referred to and favour the Chief Court with a decision.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar.

REGISTRAR in charge.

N.G.